arXiv:1509.09112v1 [math.AP] 30 Sep 2015

Multiplicity results for a class of quasilinear equations with exponential critical growth

Claudianor O. Alves and Luciana R. de Freitas

Abstract

In this work, we prove the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear elliptic equations

$$\begin{cases} -\epsilon^N \Delta_N u + (1 + \mu A(x)) |u|^{N-2} u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where Δ_N is the N-Laplacian operator, $N \geq 2$, f is a function with exponential critical growth, μ and ϵ are positive parameters and A is a nonnegative continuous function verifying some hypotheses. To obtain our results, we combine variational arguments and Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A15, 35J62, 46E35

Keywords: Quasilinear elliptic problems, Variational methods, Exponential critical growth, Lusternik-Schnirelman category, Trudinger-Moser inequality

1 Introduction

In this article, we consider the following class of quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\epsilon^N \Delta_N u + (1 + \mu A(x))|u|^{N-2}u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2), \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{$P_{\mu,\epsilon}$}$$

where $\Delta_N u = div(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u)$ is the N-Laplacian operator, μ and ϵ are positive parameters and the nonlinear term f is a function having critical exponential growth. The hypotheses on A are the same assumed in [7] , namely:

(A_1) $A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ is a nonnegative function such that $A^{-1}(0) = \overline{\Omega} \cup D$, where $\Omega = intA^{-1}(0)$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary, $0 \in \Omega$ and $m(D) = 0$;

(A_2) There exists $M_0 > 0$ such that $m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; A(x) \leq M_0\}) < \infty$,

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^N$. From (A_1), we can fix $r > 0$ such that $B_r(0) \subset \Omega$ and the sets

$$\Omega^+ = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \,;\, d(x, \overline{\Omega}) \leq r\right\}$$

and

$$\Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega \,;\, d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq r\}$$

are homotopically equivalent to Ω.

The hypotheses on the nonlinear term f are as follows:

(H_0) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is a function with exponential critical growth, that is, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \frac{|f(s)|}{e^{\alpha|s|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad \alpha > \alpha_0, \\ +\infty, & \text{if} \quad \alpha < \alpha_0. \end{cases}$$

(H_1) $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} \dfrac{f(s)}{|s|^{N-1}} = 0$;

(H_2) There exists $\nu > N$ such that

$$0 < \nu F(s) \leq f(s)s, \quad \text{for all} \ \ |s| > 0,$$

where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt$;

(H_3) There exist $p > N$ and $C_p > 0$ such that

$$f(s) \geq C_p s^{p-1}, \quad \text{for all} \ \ s \geq 0,$$

where

$$C_p > S_p^p \left\{ \frac{p(\nu - N)}{\nu(p - N)} \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1} \right\}^{\frac{N-p}{N}}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$S_p := \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,N}(B_r(0))\setminus\{0\}} \frac{||v||_{W_0^{1,N}(B_r(0))}}{|v|_{L^p(B_r(0))}};$$

(H_4) $\frac{f(s)}{s^{N-1}}$ is increasing in $(0,+\infty)$;

(H_5) There exist $\sigma \geq N$ and a constant $C>0$ such that

$$f'(s)s-(N-1)f(s) \geq Cs^{\sigma}, \quad \text{for all } \ s \geq 0;$$

(H_6) There exists $C_*>0$ such that

$$|f'(s)| \leq C_* e^{\alpha_0 |s|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}, \ \ \text{for all } \ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the sequel, without lost of generality, we suppose that $f(s)=0$ in $(-\infty,0)$, because we are looking for positive solutions.

One can find in the literature several studies concerning results of multiplicity linked the topology of the domain. In [9], Benci & Cerami proved that, for $2<p<2^*$ and λ sufficiently large, the number of solutions of problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta u+\lambda u = u^{p-1}, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u>0, \quad \ \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u=0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

is affected by the topology of Ω, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq 3$, is a smooth bounded domain. More precisely, they proved that (2) has at least $cat(\Omega)$ distinct solutions. Later, in [10], they studied the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\epsilon^2\Delta u+u = f(u), \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u>0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u=0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

where $\epsilon>0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 3)$ and $f \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R})$ has subcritical growth. There, Benci & Cerami used Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory to show that if ϵ is a small parameter, the problem (3) has at least $cat(\Omega)+1$ solutions. The reader can find more results involving Lusternik-Schnirelman category in Cerami & Passasseo [18], Alves & Ding [6], Rey [23] and Bahri & Coron [11] and their references.

Motivated by results proved in [9], Alves in [2] showed the existence of at least $cat(\Omega)$ positive solutions for the quasilinear problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\Delta_p u+|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_\lambda, \\ u>0, \quad \ \text{in} \quad \Omega_\lambda, \\ u=0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_\lambda, \end{array} \right. \tag{4}$$

where $\Omega_\lambda = \lambda\Omega$, λ is a positive parameter, $2 \leq p < N$ and f is a function with subcritical growth. Succeeding this study, Alves & Soares [7] considered the problem

$$-\epsilon^p \Delta_p u + (1 + \lambda A(x))|u|^{p-2}u = f(u), \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda, \epsilon > 0$ are parameters and A satisfying $(A_1) - (A_2)$. They proved the existence of $cat(intA^{-1}(0))$ positive solutions, for all sufficiently large λ and small ϵ. The problem (5) was motivated by a paper due to Bartsch & Wang [8], which have established the existence of at least $cat(intA^{-1}(0))$ positive solutions for the problem

$$-\Delta u + (1 + \lambda A(x))u = u^{p-1}, \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{6}$$

for $N \geq 3$ and p close to $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

The motivation of the present paper comes from [2], [3], [7] and [8], as well as by the fact that we did not find in the literature any paper dealing with the existence of the positive solutions for the problem $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$ involving a nonlinearity with exponential critical growth. Quasilinear problems of the type

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = f(u), \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \, (N \geq 2), \\ u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where $f(u)$ behaves like $exp(\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}})$, as $|u| \to \infty$, have been extensively analyzed by several authors, see [1, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 24, 25, 27] and their references. These articles were motivated by the Trudinger-Moser inequality

$$\sup_{||u||_{W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \int_\Omega e^{\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx \leq C(N, |\Omega|), \quad \text{for all } \alpha \leq \alpha_N = N\omega_{N-1}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} > 0,$$

where ω_{N-1} is the $(N-1)-$dimensional measure of the $(N-1)-$sphere.

The our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $(A_1)-(A_2)$ and $(H_0)-(H_6)$ hold. Then, there exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$, there exists $\mu^*(\epsilon) > 0$ such that the problem $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ solutions for $\mu > \mu^*(\epsilon)$.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is crucial to understand the behavior the some minimax levels of the energy functional associated with the limit

problem of $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$, given by

$$\begin{cases} -\epsilon^N \Delta_N u + |u|^{N-2}u = f(u), \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u > 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{LP}_\epsilon$$

where ϵ is a positive parameter, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2$, is a bounded smooth domain with $0 \in \Omega$. Since we did not find any result involving this study for this problem with f having exponential critical growth, we were naturally taken to prove the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that f is a function satisfying $(H_0) - (H_6)$. Then, there exists a constant $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$, the problem $(LP)_\epsilon$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ positive solutions.*

We would like point out that, if Y is a closed subset of a topological space X, the Lusternik-Schnirelman category $cat_X(Y)$ is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y. Hereafter, $cat(X)$ denotes $cat_X(X)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the Trudinger-Moser inequalities and show some technical lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to study of the problem $(LP)_\epsilon$. Section 4 is devoted to show some technical results related to problem $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$, while in Section 5 we prove the Theorem 1.1.

In this work we make use of the following notations:

- $L^t(\Omega)$, $1 \leq t < \infty$ denotes the Lebesgue spaces with the usual norm $|u|_t = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^t \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}$;
- $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space with the usual norm $\|u\| = \left(\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u|^N + |u|^N\right) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$;
- C, C_0, C_1, C_2, ... denote positive generic constants.

2 Results involving exponential critical growth

The hypothesis (H_0) is motivated by the following estimates proved by Trudinger [26] and Moser [21].

Lemma 2.1. (Trudinger-Moser inequality for bounded domains) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 2)$ be a bounded domain. Given any $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\int_\Omega e^{\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx < \infty, \quad \text{for every } \alpha > 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C = C(N, |\Omega|)$ such that

$$\sup_{||u|| \leq 1} \int_\Omega e^{\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx \leq C, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \leq \alpha_N,$$

where $\alpha_N = N\omega_{N-1}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} > 0$ and ω_{N-1} is the $(N-1)-$dimensional measure of the $(N-1)-$sphere.

The next result is a version of the Trudinger-Moser inequality for whole $\mathbb{R}^N$, and its proof can be found in Cao [17], for $N = 2$, and Bezerra do Ó [12], for the case $N \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.2. (Trudinger-Moser inequality for unbounded domains) *Given any $u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $N \geq 2$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u) \right) dx < \infty, \quad \text{for every } \alpha > 0.$$

Moreover, if $|\nabla u|_N^N \leq 1$, $|u|_N \leq M < \infty$ and $\alpha < \alpha_N$, then there exists a positive constant $C = C(N, M, \alpha)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\alpha |u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u) \right) dx \leq C,$$

where

$$S_{N-2}(\alpha, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \frac{\alpha^k}{k!} |u|^{\frac{Nk}{N-1}}.$$

The Trudinger-Moser inequalities will be strongly utilized throughout this work in order to deduce important estimates. In the sequel, we state some technical lemmas found in [5], which will be essential to carry out the proof of our results.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $t > 1$. Then, for every each $\beta > t$, there exists a constant $C = C(\beta, t) > 0$ such that*

$$\left(e^{\alpha |s|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, s) \right)^t \leq C \left(e^{\beta \alpha |s|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\beta \alpha, s) \right).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let (u_n) be a sequence in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with*

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \|u_n\|^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Then, there exist $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $t > 1$ and $C > 0$ independent of n, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n)\right)^t dx \le C, \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0,$$

for some n_0 sufficiently large.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and (u_n) be a sequence in $W_0^{1,N}(\mathcal{B})$ with*

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \|u_n\|^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Then, there exist $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $t > 1$ and $C > 0$ independent of n, such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{t\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx \le C, \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0,$$

for some n_0 sufficiently large.

3 The limit problem $(LP)_\epsilon$

Using standard arguments, we know that $(LP)_\epsilon$ is equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u + |u|^{N-2}u = f(u), & \text{in} \quad \Omega_\epsilon, \\ u > 0, & \text{in} \quad \Omega_\epsilon, \\ u = 0, & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_\epsilon, \end{cases} \tag{P_ϵ}$$

where $\Omega_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Omega$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $I_\epsilon : W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{N}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \left(|\nabla u|^N + |u|^N\right) dx - \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} F(u)dx$$

be the functional associated with (P_ϵ) and define the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_\epsilon := \left\{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon) \setminus \{0\}\,;\, I'_\epsilon(u)u = 0\right\}.$$

In what follows, we consider $B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}} := B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0)$ and denote by $I_{\epsilon,B} : W_0^{1,N}(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}) \to \mathbb{R}$ the functional

$$I_{\epsilon,B}(u) = \frac{1}{N}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}} \left(|\nabla u|^N + |u|^N\right) dx - \int_{B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}} F(u)dx,$$

whose corresponding Nehari manifold is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,B} := \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,N}(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}) \setminus \{0\} \,;\, I'_{\epsilon,B}(u)u = 0 \right\}.$$

Using well known arguments, if c_ϵ and b_ϵ denote the mountain pass levels associated with I_ϵ and $I_{\epsilon,B}$ respectively, then they satisfy

$$b_\epsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,B}} I_{\epsilon,B}(u)$$

and

$$c_\epsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon} I_\epsilon(u).$$

Apart from the above problems, we also consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u + |u|^{N-2}u = f(u), & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \qquad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{P_∞}$$

whose functional corresponding to a variational approach is $I_\infty : W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I_\infty(u) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^N + |u|^N \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx.$$

The Nehari manifold associate to I_∞ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty := \left\{ u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \,;\, I'_\infty(u)u = 0 \right\}$$

and let us denoted by c_∞ the mountain pass level of I_∞, which satisfies

$$c_\infty = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\infty} I_\infty(u).$$

3.1 A result of compactness

In this section, we will establish a result of compactness for I_ϵ restricts to Nehari manifold $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$. Moreover, we will prove that a critical point of I_ϵ on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ is also critical point of I_ϵ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$. Initially, we need to study the behavior of levels b_ϵ, c_ϵ and c_∞.

Lemma 3.1. *The level b_ϵ satifies*

$$b_\epsilon < \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0} \right)^{N-1}, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon \in (0,1).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in W_0^{1,N}(B_r(0)) \setminus \{0\}$ be a function satisfying

$$\frac{||\varphi||}{|\varphi|_p} = S_p := \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,N}(B_r(0))\setminus\{0\}} \frac{||v||}{|v|_p}.$$

As $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, it follows that $B_r(0) \subset B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0)$. Then, we can consider that $\varphi \in W_0^{1,N}(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0))$, and so, by definition of b_ϵ,

$$b_\epsilon \leq \max_{t\geq 0} I_\epsilon(t\varphi) \leq \max_{t\geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^N}{N}||\varphi||^N - \int_{B_r(0)} F(t\varphi)dx \right\}.$$

By (H_3),

$$\frac{b_\epsilon}{|\varphi|_p^N} \leq \max_{t\geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^N}{N} S_p^N - \frac{C_p}{p} t^p |\varphi|_p^{p-N} \right\},$$

where the maximum is attained at

$$t_0 = C_p^{\frac{1}{N-p}} |\varphi|_p^{-1} S_p^{\frac{N}{p-N}}.$$

Consequently,

$$b_\epsilon \leq \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{p}\right) C_p^{\frac{N}{N-p}} S_p^{\frac{pN}{p-N}},$$

and by (1),

$$b_\epsilon < \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

□

Remark 3.1. *In order to simplify, we denote*

$$\Lambda := \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}. \tag{7}$$

Observe that, by definition

$$0 < c_\infty \leq c_\epsilon \leq b_\epsilon < \Lambda, \quad \textit{for all } \epsilon > 0. \tag{8}$$

The next proposition is an important result of compactness involving I_∞.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ be a sequence satisfying*

$$I_\infty(u_n) \to c_\infty. \tag{9}$$

Then, (u_n) admits a subsequence converging strongly in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, or there exists $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|y_n| \to \infty$ such that

$$v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n) \to v \quad in \quad W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $v \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ and $I_\infty(v) = c_\infty$.

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ verifying (9). We claim that

$$I'_\infty(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N))'. \tag{10}$$

In fact, using Ekeland Variational Principle (see [19]), there exists a sequence $(w_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ verifying

$$w_n = u_n + o_n(1), \quad I_\infty(w_n) \to c_\infty$$

and

$$I'_\infty(w_n) - \ell_n E'_\infty(w_n) = o_n(1), \tag{11}$$

where $(\ell_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $E_\infty(w) = I'_\infty(w)w$, for all $w \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By a straightforward computation,

$$E'_\infty(w_n)w_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[f'(w_n)w_n - (N-1)f(w_n)\right] w_n dx.$$

Thereby, by (H_5), there exist $\sigma \geq N$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$-E'_\infty(w_n)w_n \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n|^{\sigma+1}\, dx. \tag{12}$$

Using the last expression, we can prove that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|E'_\infty(w_n)w_n| \geq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (w_n), such that

$$E'_\infty(w_n)w_n = o_n(1). \tag{13}$$

From (12),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n|^{\sigma+1}\, dx = o_n(1).$$

Hence, by interpolation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_n|^{\tau}\, dx = o_n(1), \ \text{ for all } \ \tau \geq \sigma + 1. \tag{14}$$

From definition of (w_n), together with (H_2), it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{\nu}\right)||w_n||^N \leq I_\infty(w_n)-\frac{1}{\nu}I'_\infty(w_n)w_n = c_\infty + o_n(1), \qquad (15)$$

showing that (w_n) is bounded in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}||w_n||^N < \frac{c_\infty}{\left(\frac{1}{N}-\frac{1}{\nu}\right)}.$$

Therefore, by Remark 3.1,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}||w_n||^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

As $(w_n)\subset W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Lemma 2.4, there exist $\alpha>\alpha_0$, $t>1$ and $C>0$, independent of n, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(e^{\alpha|w_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}-S_{N-2}(\alpha,w_n)\right)^t dx \leq C, \quad \text{for all } n\geq n_0, \qquad (16)$$

for some n_0 sufficiently large.

From (H_0) and (H_1), for each $\eta>0$ and $s\geq 1$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$||w_n||^N \leq \eta|w_n|_N^N + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|w_n|^s\left(e^{\alpha|w_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}-S_{N-2}(\alpha,w_n)\right)dx. \qquad (17)$$

Choosing η small enough, $s\geq\sigma+1$ and using Hölder's inequality together with (16), we find

$$||w_n||^N \leq C|w_n|_{st_1}^s, \qquad (18)$$

where $t_1=\frac{t}{t-1}$. Therefore, from (14),

$$||w_n||^N = o_n(1),$$

that is, $w_n\to 0$ in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. On the other hand, from (18),

$$||w_n||^{s-N}\geq C_2>0,$$

for some positive constant C_2, which is a contradiction. This contradiction yields there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$|E'_\infty(w_n)w_n|\geq\delta, \quad \text{for all } n\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (19)$$

Now, by (11)

$$\ell_n E'_\infty(w_n)w_n = o_n(1),$$

and so, $\ell_n = o_n(1)$. Since (w_n) is bounded, it is not difficult to prove that $(E'_\infty(w_n))$ is bounded. Using again (11), we can ensure that

$$I'_\infty(w_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \left(W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)'. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, without loss generality, we can assume that

$$I'_\infty(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N))'. \tag{21}$$

As in (15), (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||u_n||^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Thus, there exists $u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, for a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Now, we divide the proof into two cases:
Case I: $u \neq 0$. Repeating the same arguments employed in [3, Lemma 3], we see that

(i) $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$;

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2}\nabla u_n \nabla v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{N-2}\nabla u \nabla v dx, \ \forall\, v \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

(iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{N-2}u_n v dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{N-2}uv dx, \ \forall v \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

(iv) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)\varphi dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\varphi dx, \ \forall\, \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Using the above limits we can easily show that $I'_\infty(u) = 0$. This fact together with Fatou's Lemma leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\infty &\leq I_\infty(u) = I_\infty(u) - \frac{1}{\nu} I'_\infty(u)u \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)||u||^N + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{\nu} f(u)u - F(u)\right] dx \\
&\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)||u_n||^N + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{\nu} f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n)\right] dx \right\} \\
&= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \{I_\infty(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} I'_\infty(u_n)u_n\} = c_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_n||^N = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||u||^N.$$

The last limit and (i) combine to give $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Case II: $u = 0$. First, let us claim that there exist $R, \kappa > 0$ and $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^N \, dx \geq \kappa. \tag{22}$$

In fact, otherwise

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^N \, dx \right] = 0$$

and by Lions (see [20]),

$$u_n \to 0 \ \text{ in } \ L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \text{ for all } \ q \in (N, +\infty).$$

From (H_0) and (H_1), given $s > N$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(s) > 0$ such that

$$||u_n||^N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2}||u_n||^N + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^s \left[e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n) \right] dx.$$

Combining Hölder's inequality with Trudinger-Moser inequality (Lemma 2.4), we derive the inequality

$$||u_n||^N \leq C|u_n|_{ts}^s.$$

Then $||u_n|| \to 0$, and so,

$$I_\infty(u_n) \to 0,$$

which is a contradiction, because $I_\infty(u_n) \to c_\infty > 0$. This way, (22) holds.

We can notice that $|y_n| \to +\infty$. Indeed, if (y_n) is bounded, that is, $|y_n| \leq K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\int_{B_{R+K}(0)} |u|^N dx = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_{R+K}(0)} |u_n|^N dx \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^N dx \geq \kappa > 0,$$

obtaining a new contradiction, because $u = 0$.

Now, we define $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$. Making change of variable, it follows that

$$I_\infty(v_n) = I_\infty(u_n) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\infty(v_n) = I'_\infty(u_n), \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

that is,

$$I_\infty(v_n) \to c_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\infty(v_n) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty. \tag{23}$$

It is easy to see that, (v_n) is bounded in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists $v \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, for a subsequence, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |v(z)|^N dz = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |v_n(z)|^N dz = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n(x)|^N dx \geq \kappa,$$

showing that $v \neq 0$. Arguing as in Case I, $v_n \to v$ in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. From (23), it follows that $v \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ and $I_\infty(v) = c_\infty$, completing the proof. □

The next result shows that I_ϵ restricts to Nehari Manifold verifies the (PS) condition at some levels. Hereafter, the norm of the derivative of the restriction of I_ϵ to $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ at v is defined as

$$||I'_\epsilon(v)||_* := \sup_{w\in T_v\mathcal{M}_\epsilon,\, ||w||=1} I'_\epsilon(v)w.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The functional I_ϵ restricts to Nehari manifold $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c < \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ such that

$$I_\epsilon(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad ||I'_\epsilon(u_n)||_* \to 0,$$

where $c < \Lambda$. We will prove that (u_n) admits a subsequence strongly convergent in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$.

Applying the Ekeland's Variational Principle and arguing as in the proof of (20), we can assume that $I'_\epsilon(u_n) \to 0$. Thus, (u_n) is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_ϵ, and analogously to (15), we obtain that (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||u_n||^N \leq \frac{c}{\left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)} < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}. \tag{24}$$

Therefore, there exists $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ such that, for a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$. Using standard arguments, it is easy to prove that u is a critical point of I_ϵ.

Combining (24), the Lemma 2.1 and a Brézis-Lieb Lemma, we have that, for $s > N$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$ ($\alpha \approx \alpha_0$),

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |u_n|^s e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx \to \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |u|^s e^{\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} dx.$$

Since

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq |u_n|^N + C|u_n|^s e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}},$$

the generalized Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem ensures that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u_n)u_n\,dx = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u)u\,dx.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ||u_n||^N &= I'_\epsilon(u_n)u_n + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u_n)u_n\,dx = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f(u)u\,dx + o_n(1) \\ &= I'_\epsilon(u)u + ||u||^N + o_n(1) = ||u||^N + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $u_n \to u$ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$. □

Lemma 3.3. *If $u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ is a critical point of I_ϵ in $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$, then u is a nontrivial critical point of I_ϵ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$.*

Proof. Since by hypothesis $u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ is a critical point of I_ϵ in $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$, then $u \neq 0$ and there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'_\epsilon(u) = \ell E'_\epsilon(u),$$

where $E_\epsilon(u) = I'_\epsilon(u)u$. Using the equality $I'_\epsilon(u)u = 0$, we also have $\ell E'_\epsilon(u)u = 0$. Now, proceeding as in the proof of (19), we know that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$E'_\epsilon(u)u \leq -\delta, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon,$$

implying that $\ell = 0$, and consequently, $I'_\epsilon(u) = 0$.

□

3.2 Properties of the minimax levels

In this Section, we will prove some properties of the levels c_∞, c_ϵ and b_ϵ. For this, we need to introduce some notations. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R > r > 0$, we fix

$$A_{R,r,x} := B_R(x) \setminus \overline{B}_r(x),$$

the functional $J_{\epsilon,x} : W_0^{1,N}(A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},x}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$J_{\epsilon,x}(u) = \frac{1}{N}\int_{A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},x}} \left(|\nabla u|^N + |u|^N\right) dx - \int_{A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},x}} F(u)dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,x} = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,N}(A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},x}) \setminus \{0\}\,;\, J'_{\epsilon,x}(u)u = 0 \right\},$$

the Nehari manifold related to $J_{\epsilon,x}$.

For each $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon) \setminus \{0\}$, we set

$$\beta(u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} x|\nabla u|^N dx}{\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\nabla u|^N dx}$$

and

$$a(R, r, \epsilon, x) := \inf \{J_{\epsilon,x}(u)\,;\, \beta(u) = x,\, u \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,x}\}\,.$$

For $x = 0$, we write simply

$$J_\epsilon := J_{\epsilon,0}, \quad A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon}} := A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},0}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\epsilon := \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,0}$$

and

$$a(R, r, \epsilon) := a(R, r, \epsilon, 0).$$

The next three lemmas are crucial in our arguments, but we will omit their proof, because they follow using the same ideas found in [2].

Lemma 3.4. *The number $a(R, r, \epsilon)$ satisfies*

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0^+} a(R, r, \epsilon) > c_\infty.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The minimax levels verify the limits*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} c_\epsilon = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} b_\epsilon = c_\infty.$$

Lemma 3.6. *There exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ satisfying $I_\epsilon(u) \leq b_\epsilon$, we have*

$$\beta(u) \in \Omega_\epsilon^+, \quad \textit{for all } 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*.$$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In what follows, we denote by $u_{\epsilon,r} \in W_0^{1,N}(B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(0))$ be a positive radial ground state solution for the functional $I_{\epsilon,B}$, that is,

$$I_{\epsilon,B}(u_{\epsilon,r}) = b_\epsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,B}} I_{\epsilon,B}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\epsilon,B}(u_{\epsilon,r}) = 0.$$

Using the function $u_{\epsilon,r}$, we define the operator $\Psi_r : \Omega_\epsilon^- \longrightarrow W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ by

$$\Psi_r(y)(x) = \begin{cases} u_{\epsilon,r}(|x - y|), & x \in B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(y), \\ 0, & x \in \Omega_\epsilon^- \setminus B_{\frac{r}{\epsilon}}(y), \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

which is continuous and satisfies

$$\beta(\Psi_r(y)) = y, \quad \forall\, y \in \Omega_\epsilon^-. \tag{26}$$

Using the above information, we are ready to prove the following claim

Claim 3.1. *For* $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$,

$$cat(I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon}) \geq cat(\Omega),$$

where $I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon} := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_\epsilon\,;\, I_\epsilon(u) \leq b_\epsilon\}$ *and* ϵ^* *is given in Lemma 3.6.*

Indeed, assume that

$$I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon} = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \cdots \cup F_n,$$

where F_j is closed and contractible in $I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon}$, for each $j = 1, 2, ..., n$, that is, there exist $h_j \in C([0,1] \times F_j, I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon})$ and $w_j \in F_j$ such that

$$h_j(0,u) = u \quad \text{and} \quad h_j(1,u) = w_j,$$

for all $u \in F_j$. Considering the closed sets $B_j := \Psi_r^{-1}(F_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that

$$\Omega_\epsilon^- = \Psi_r^{-1}(I_\epsilon^{b_\epsilon}) = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_n,$$

and defining the deformation $g_j : [0,1] \times B_j \to \Omega_\epsilon^+$ given by

$$g_i(t,y) = \beta(h_j(t, \Psi_r(y))),$$

we conclude that, by Lemma 3.6, g_i is well defined and thus, B_j is contractible in Ω_ϵ^+ for each $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Therefore,

$$cat(\Omega) = cat(\Omega_\epsilon) = cat_{\Omega_\epsilon^+}(\Omega_\epsilon^-) \leq n.$$

finishing the proof of the claim.

Since I_ϵ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$ for $c < b_\epsilon$ (see Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1), we can apply the Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory and the Claim 3.1 to ensure that I_ϵ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ critical points on $\mathcal{M}_\epsilon$. Consequently, I_ϵ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ critical points in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ (see Lemma 3.3). By maximum principle, all solutions obtained are positive. □

4 Results of existence for the problem $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$

This section is concerned with the multiplicity of solutions of the problem $(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$. First of all, we observe that the problem$(P_{\mu,\epsilon})$ is equivalent to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u + (1+\mu A(\epsilon x))|u|^{N-2}u = f(u), & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{$\bar{P}_{\mu,\epsilon}$}$$

For each $\epsilon, \mu > 0$, we define the Banach space $E_{\mu,\epsilon} = \left(E_\epsilon, \|\cdot\|_{\mu,\epsilon}\right)$, where

$$E_\epsilon = \left\{ u \in W^{1,N}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right) \; ; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(\epsilon x)|u|^N dx < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\mu,\epsilon} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[|\nabla u|^N + (\mu A(\epsilon x) + 1)|u|^N \right] dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}.$$

Note that the space $E_{\mu,\epsilon}$ is continuously embedded in $W^{1,N}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$.

In what follows, we denote by $I_{\mu,\epsilon} : E_{\mu,\epsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to $(\bar{P}_{\mu,\epsilon})$, given by

$$I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{N}\|u\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx.$$

Using standard arguments, it is possible to prove that $I_{\mu,\epsilon} \in C^1(E_{\mu,\epsilon}, \mathbb{R})$.

Using the above notations, we are able to study some properties of the functional $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$.

4.1 The Palais-Smale condition

Throughout this section, $(u_n) \subset E_{\mu,\epsilon}$ denote a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$, that is,

$$I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) \to 0.$$

In order to prove a compactness result for $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$, we need of some lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *The following properties occur:*

i. $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} \leq \dfrac{c\nu N}{\nu - N}$;

ii. $c \geq 0$;

iii. *if $c = 0$, then $u_n \to 0$ in $E_{\mu,\epsilon}$.*

Proof. This lemma is an immediate consequence of the inequalities below

$$o_n(1) + c + o_n(1)\|u_n\|_{\mu,\epsilon} \geq I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) - \frac{1}{\nu} I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n)u_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right)\|u_n\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let $c \in (0, \Lambda)$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $s > N$, independent of μ and ϵ, such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^s dx \geq \delta.$$

Proof. From (H_0) and (H_1), for each $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\eta, N) > 0$ such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \eta|u_n|^N + C|u_n|^N \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n)\right). \tag{27}$$

Once $c < \Lambda$, the Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \|u_n\|^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.4, there exist $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $t_1 > 1$ and $C > 0$ independent of n, such that for some n_0 sufficiently large

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n)\right)^{t_1} dx \leq C, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0. \tag{28}$$

Using Hölder's inequality together with (27) and (44), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_n\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} &= I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n)u_n + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \\
&\leq \eta|u_n|^N_N + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^N \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n)\right) dx + o_n(1) \\
&\leq \eta|u_n|^N_N + C|u_n|^N_{Nt_2} + o_n(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $t_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_1 - 1}$. Choosing η small enough, we find

$$\|u_n\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} \leq C|u_n|^N_{Nt_2} + o_n(1). \tag{29}$$

On the other hand

$$\|u_n\|^N_{\mu,\epsilon} = NI_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) + N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n)dx \geq NI_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) = Nc + o_n(1). \quad (30)$$

From (29) and (30),

$$0 < (Nc + o_n(1))^{t_2} \leq (2C|u_n|^N_{Nt_2})^{t_2}.$$

Choosing $s = Nt_2$, it follows that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^s dx \geq \delta,$$

with δ and s independent of μ and ϵ, finishing the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, $s > N$ and $\eta > 0$, there exist $M_\eta > 0$, independent of ϵ, and $R_\eta > 0$, such that*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |u_n|^s dx < \eta, \quad \forall \mu \geq M_\eta.$$

Proof. For $R > 0$, fix

$$X_R = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N ;\, |x| > R,\, A(\epsilon x) \geq M_0\right\}$$

and

$$Y_R = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N ;\, |x| > R,\, A(\epsilon x) < M_0\right\},$$

where M_0 is given (A_2). Observe that,

$$\int_{X_R} |u_n|^N dx \leq \frac{1}{\mu M_0 + 1}\int_{X_R} (\mu A(\epsilon x) + 1)|u_n|^N dx \leq \frac{||u_n||^N_{\mu,\epsilon}}{\mu M_0 + 1} \quad (31)$$

and

$$\int_{Y_R} |u_n|^N dx \leq \left(\int_{Y_R} |u_n|^s dx\right)^{\frac{N}{s}} [m(Y_R)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \leq C||u_n||^N_{\mu,\epsilon}[m(Y_R)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}. \quad (32)$$

Using interpolation inequality for $N < s < q$, we can infer that

$$|u_n|_{L^s(B^c_R)} \leq |u_n|^\theta_{L^N(B^c_R)}|u_n|^{1-\theta}_{L^q(B^c_R)} \leq |u_n|^\theta_{L^N(B^c_R)}||u_n||^{1-\theta}_{\mu,\epsilon}, \quad (33)$$

for some $\theta \in (0,1)$. From (31)-(33) and Lemma 4.1, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |u_n|_{L^s(B^c_R)} \leq K\left(\frac{1}{\mu M_0 + 1} + [m(Y_R)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{N}}. \quad (34)$$

From (A_2),

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} m(Y_R)) = 0$$

which together with (34) implies that, given $\eta > 0$, we can fix $R_\eta > 0$ and $M_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |u_n|_{L^s(B^c_{R_\eta})} < \eta, \quad \mu \geq M_\eta.$$

□

Proposition 4.1. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\bar{\mu}$, independent of ϵ, such that $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition, for all $\mu \geq \bar{\mu}$ and $0 < c < \Lambda$. Moreover, the limit of any $(PS)_c$ sequence is nontrivial.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset E_{\mu,\epsilon}$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ with $0 < c < \Lambda$. Since (u_n) is bounded (see Lemma 4.1), there exists $u \in E_{\mu,\epsilon}$ such that, for some subsequence,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } E_{\mu,\epsilon}, \\ u_n(x) \to u(x) & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u_n \to u & \text{in } L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Setting $v_n := u_n - u$, using the above limits and following the methods used in [3], we know that

(a) $I_{\mu,\epsilon}(v_n) = I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u_n) - I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) + o_n(1)$;

(b) $I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(v_n) = o_n(1)$;

(c) $I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) = 0$.

Let $c' = c - I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u)$. From (a) and (b), (v_n) is a $(PS)_{c'}$ sequence for $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ and

$$c' = c - I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) = c - I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) + \frac{1}{\nu} I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u)u \leq c - \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) ||u||^N_{\mu,\epsilon} \leq c.$$

Furthermore, we claim that $c' = 0$. Indeed, by Lemma 4.1, $c' \geq 0$. Supposing by contradiction that $c' > 0$, the Lemma 4.2 guarantees that there exist $\delta > 0$, $s > N$, independent of μ and ϵ, such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^s dx \geq \delta. \tag{35}$$

By Lemma 4.3, with $\eta = \frac{\delta}{2}$, there exist $\bar{\mu}$ (independent of ϵ) and R, such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R^c} |v_n|^s dx < \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{36}$$

for all $\mu \geq \bar{\mu}$. Hence,

$$\delta \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R^c} |v_n|^s dx + \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_R} |v_n|^s dx < \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{37}$$

which is an absurd, and so, we must have $c' = 0$. Thereby, by Lemma 4.1, we have that $v_n \to 0$, that is, $u_n \to u$. As $c > 0$, it follows that $u \neq 0$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ fixed and $(u_n) \subset W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence of solutions of $(P_{\mu_n,\epsilon})$, with $\mu_n \to \infty$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) < \Lambda$. Then, there exists $u_\epsilon \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solution of (P_ϵ) such that, for some subsequence,*

i) $u_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$;

ii) $u_n \to u_\epsilon$ *strongly in* $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;

iii) $\mu_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(\epsilon x)|u_n|^N dx \to 0$;

iv) $||u_n - u_\epsilon||^N_{\mu_n,\epsilon} \to 0$.

Proof. First of all, we observe that $(||u_n||_{\mu_n,\epsilon})$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ with

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{\mu_n,\epsilon} < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}.$$

Thereby, there exists $u_\epsilon \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, for a subsequence,

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_\epsilon & \text{in } W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n(x) \to u_\epsilon(x) & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u_n \to u_\epsilon & \text{in } L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$C_k = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \,;\, A_\epsilon(x) \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \quad \text{where } \; A_\epsilon(x) := A(\epsilon x).$$

Note that

$$\int_{C_k} |u_n|^N dx \leq \int_{C_k} kA(\epsilon x)|u_n|^N dx \leq \frac{k}{\mu_n} ||u_n||^N_{\mu_n,\epsilon} = o_n(1).$$

By Fatou's Lemma

$$\int_{C_k} |u_\epsilon|^N dx = 0,$$

and consequently, $u_\epsilon = 0$ a.e. in C_k. Since $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus A_\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$, and $A_\epsilon^{-1}(0) = \bar{\Omega}_\epsilon \cup D_\epsilon$ with $m(D_\epsilon) = 0$, it follows that $u_\epsilon = 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bar{\Omega}_\epsilon$. As $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ is smooth, we conclude that $u_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$.

Repeating the same arguments employed in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we know that given any $\eta > 0$ and $s > N$, there exist M_η and R_η, such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |u_n|^N dx < \eta, \tag{38}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |u_n|^s dx < \eta, \tag{39}$$

for all $\mu \geq M_\eta$. Now, as in (27), for $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \delta |u_n|^N + C|u_n|^N \left(e^{\alpha |u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n) \right). \tag{40}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |f(u_n)u_n| dx &\leq \delta \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |u_n|^N dx + C \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |u_n|^N \left(e^{\alpha |u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n) \right) dx \\ &\leq \delta |u_n|^N_{L^N(B^c_{R_\eta})} + C|u_n|^N_{L^s(B^c_{R_\eta})}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n)u_n - f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon| \, dx &\leq \int_{B_{R_\eta}} |f(u_n)u_n - f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon| \, dx \\ &+ \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |f(u_n)u_n| dx + \int_{B^c_{R_\eta}} |f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon| dx \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n)u_n - f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon| \, dx = o_n(1). \tag{41}$$

Analogously

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u_n)u_\epsilon - f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon] \, dx = o_n(1). \tag{42}$$

Now, the proof follows repeating the same arguments found in [7, Proposition 2.2]. □

4.2 Behavior of minimax levels

In this section, we continue studying the minimax levels. Here, we will use the notations introduced in Section 3. We also consider the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon} := \left\{ u \in E_{\mu,\epsilon} \setminus \{0\} \,;\, I'_{\mu,\epsilon}(u)u = 0 \right\}$$

and the mountain pass minimax associated with $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ given by

$$c_{\mu,\epsilon} = \inf\{I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) \,;\, u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon}\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *There exists $\sigma > 0$, independent of μ and ϵ, such that*

$$||u||^N_{W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \sigma > 0, \quad \textit{for all } u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exist $(\epsilon_n), (\mu_n) \subset (0, +\infty)$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mu_n,\epsilon_n}$ with $||u_n|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$||u_n||^N < \left(\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_0}\right)^{N-1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exist $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $t_1 > 1$ and $C > 0$ independent of n, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n) \right)^{t_1} dx \leq C, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0. \tag{44}$$

From hypotheses (H_0) and (H_1), for each $\eta > 0$ and $s > N$, there exists $C = C(\eta, s) > 0$ such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \eta|u_n|^N + C|u_n|^s \left(e^{\alpha|u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} - S_{N-2}(\alpha, u_n) \right). \tag{45}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$||u_n||^N_{\mu_n,\epsilon_n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \leq \eta|u_n|^N_N + C|u_n|^s_{st_2},$$

where $t_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_1-1}$. Choosing η sufficiently small, it follows that

$$||u_n||^N \leq C_1||u_n||^s.$$

Therefore, $||u_n||^{s-N} \geq C_2 > 0$, which is a contradiction, because $||u_n|| \to 0$. Thus, $||u||^N > \sigma$, for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon}$ and $\mu, \epsilon > 0$. □

Proposition 4.3. *For each* $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $\lim_{\mu\to\infty} c_{\mu,\epsilon} = c_\epsilon$.

Proof. From definitions of $c_{\mu,\epsilon}$ and c_ϵ, and by Remark 3.1,

$$0 < c_{\mu,\epsilon} \leq c_\epsilon < \Lambda, \quad \text{for all } \mu > 0.$$

Applying Proposition 4.1, there exist sequences $\mu_n \to \infty$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mu_n,\epsilon}$ such that

$$I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) = c_{\mu_n,\epsilon} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) = 0.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.2, there exists $u_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ solution of (P_ϵ) such that, for a subsequence, we have $u_n \to u_\epsilon$ strongly in $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.4, $u_\epsilon \neq 0$ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega_\epsilon)$. Hence

$$I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \geq c_\epsilon$$

and using Proposition 4.2 *iii)*

$$c_\epsilon \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} c_{\mu_n,\epsilon} = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_\epsilon(u_n) = I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \geq c_\epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_{\mu_n,\epsilon} = c_\epsilon.$$

□

As a consequence of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1. *Let* $\epsilon > 0$ *fixed and* $(u_n) \subset W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a sequence of least energy solutions of* $(P_{\mu_n,\epsilon})$, *with* $\mu_n \to \infty$ *and* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) < \Lambda$. *Then,* (u_n) *possesses a subsequence that converges strongly in* $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *to a least energy solution of* (P_ϵ).

In the sequel, let us fix $R > 2diam(\Omega)$ such that $\Omega \subset B_R(0)$ and consider the function

$$\xi_\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{R}{\epsilon}, \\ \frac{R}{\epsilon t}, & t \geq \frac{R}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for each $u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with compact support, we set

$$\tilde{\beta}(u) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x\xi_\epsilon(|x|)|\nabla u|^N dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^N dx}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *There exists $\epsilon^* > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$, there exists $\mu^* > 0$ which depends on ϵ such that if $\mu > \mu^*$, then*

$$\tilde{\beta}(u) \in \Omega_\epsilon^+, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon} \text{ with } I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) \leq b_\epsilon.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$a(R, r, \epsilon) > c_\infty + \delta, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon < \epsilon_1,$$

for some $\delta > 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$b_\epsilon < c_\infty + \delta, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon < \epsilon_2.$$

Thus, fixing $\epsilon^* = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$,

$$a(R, r, \epsilon) > b_\epsilon, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon < \epsilon^*. \tag{46}$$

Let $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$, and suppose by contradiction that there exist sequences $\mu_n \to \infty$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mu_n,\epsilon}$ with $I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) \leq b_\epsilon$ and

$$\tilde{\beta}(u_n) \notin \Omega_\epsilon^+.$$

As $(||u_n||_{\mu_n,\epsilon})$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ (see Lemma 4.1), there exists $u_\epsilon \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that, for a subsequence we have

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_\epsilon & \text{in } W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n(x) \to u_\epsilon(x) & \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u_n \to u_\epsilon & \text{in } L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Repeating the same arguments employed in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(u_n)u_n - f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon]\, dx = o_n(1). \tag{47}$$

By Lemma 4.4, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$0 < \sigma \leq ||u_n||^N_{W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq ||u_n||^N_{\mu_n,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{48}$$

that is,

$$0 < \sigma \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} ||u_n||^N_{\mu_n,\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon dx. \tag{49}$$

Hence $u_\epsilon \neq 0$ and $I'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)u_\epsilon \leq 0$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{\beta}(u_n) = \beta(u_\epsilon) = y \notin \Omega_\epsilon^+$$

implying that $\Omega_\epsilon \subset A_{\frac{R}{\epsilon},\frac{r}{\epsilon},y}$. Thereby, fixing $\tau \in (0,1]$ such that $\tau u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon,y}$, we obtain

$$a(R,r,\epsilon,y) \leq J_{\epsilon,y}(\tau u_\epsilon) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(\tau u_n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} I_{\mu_n,\epsilon}(u_n) < b_\epsilon.$$

Using the fact that $a(R,r,\epsilon,y) = a(R,r,\epsilon)$, we get a contradiction with (46). □

Lemma 4.6. *For $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$ and $\mu > \mu^*$,*

$$cat(I^{b_\epsilon}_{\mu,\epsilon}) \geq cat(\Omega),$$

where $I^{b_\epsilon}_{\mu,\epsilon} := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\epsilon}\,;\, I_{\mu,\epsilon}(u) \leq b_\epsilon\}$ and ϵ^*, μ^* are given in Lemma 4.5.

Proof. The proof follows repeating exactly the same arguments of Lemma 3.1, replacing β by $\tilde{\beta}$ and using the Lemma 4.5. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*$ and $\mu > \mu^*$, where ϵ^*,μ^* are given in Lemma 4.5. Since $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition, for all $\mu > \mu^*$ and $c < b_\epsilon$ (see Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.1), we can apply the Lusternik-Schnirelman category theory and the Lemma 4.6 to ensure that $I_{\mu,\epsilon}$ has at least $cat(\Omega)$ critical points. □

References

[1] Adimurthi, *Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problems with critical growth for the N-Laplacian*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa 17 (1990), 393-413.

[2] C. O. Alves, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of quasilinear equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 5 (2005), 73-87.

[3] C.O. Alves & G.M. Figueiredo, *On multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, J.Differential Equations 246 (2009), 1288-1311.

[4] C.O. Alves, L.R. de Freitas & S.H.M. Soares, *Indefinite quasilinear elliptic equations in exterior domains with exponential critical growth*, Differential Integral Equations 24 (2011), 1047-1062.

[5] C.O. Alves & L.R. de Freitas, *Multiplicity of nonradial solutions for a class of quasilinear equations on annulus with exponential critical growth*, Topol. Methods in Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2012), 243-262.

[6] C. O. Alves & Y. H. Ding, *Multiplicity of positive solutions to a p-Laplacian equation involving critical nonlinearity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 279 (2003), 508-521.

[7] C. O. Alves & S. H. M. Soares, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Adv. Differential Equation, v.15, (2010) 1083-1102.

[8] T. Bartsch & Z. Wang , *Multiple positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 51, (2000) 366-384.

[9] V. Benci & G. Cerami, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 114 (1991), 79-93.

[10] V. Benci & G. Cerami, *Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology*, Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations 02 (1994), 29-48.

[11] A. Bahri & J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: The effect of the topology of the domain*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 253-294.

[12] J.M. Bezerra do Ó, *N-Laplacian equations in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with critical growth*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2 (1997), 301-315.

[13] J.M. Bezerra do Ó, *Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the N-Laplacian in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with nonlinearities in critical growth range*, Differential Integral Equations 5 (1996), 967-979.

[14] J.M. Bezerra do Ó, E. Medeiros & U. Severo, *A nonhomogeneos elliptic problem involving critical growth in dimension two*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008), 286-304.

[15] J.M. Bezerra do Ó, E. Medeiros & U. Severo, *On a quasilinear nonhomogeneos elliptic equation with critical growth in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009), 1363-1386.

[16] H. Brezis, *Análisis funcional. Teoría y aplicaciones*, Alianza Editorial S. A., Madrid (1984).

[17] D.M. Cao, *Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992), 407-435.

[18] G. Cerami & D. Passaseo, *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains with rich topology*, Nonlinear Anal. 18 (1992), 103-119.

[19] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324-353.

[20] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case, part 2*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), 223–283.

[21] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971), 1077-1092.

[22] R. Panda, *On semilinear Neumann problems with critical growth for the N-Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal 26 (1996), 1347-1366.

[23] O. Rey *A multiplicity result for a variational problem with lack of compactness*, Nonlinear Anal. 13 (1989), 1241-1249.

[24] E.A.B. Silva & S.H.M. Soares, *Liouville-Gelfand type problems for the N-Laplacian on bounded domains of* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa 4 (1999), 1-30.

[25] E. Tonkes, *Solutions to a perturbed critical semilinear equation concerning the N-Laplacian in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 40 (1999), 679–699.

[26] N. Trudinger, *On imbedding into Orlicz space and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 473-484.

[27] Y. Wang, J. Yang & Y. Zhang, *Quasilinear elliptic equations involving the N-Laplacian with critical exponential growth in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Nonlinear Analysis 71 (2009), 6157–6169.

Claudianor O. Alves
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande,
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática,
CEP:58429-900 - Campina Grande, PB, Brazil
e-mail: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

Luciana Roze de Freitas
Universidade Estadual da Paraíba,
Departamento de Matemática,
CEPO:58109-790 - Campina Grande, PB, Brazil
e-mail: lucianarfreitas@hotmail.com